

REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed 22 March 2005, the Examiner objected to claim 17 and rejected claims 9 and 10 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. The rejections were addressed through amendments to the claims in the 26 September 2005 Response and further amendments to the claims in this Response.


Claims 9 and 10 have been amended to correct typographical errors. Withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Claim 17 was amended in the 26 September 2005 Response to include the feature "wherein the slanted surface allows components of motion to be detected in more than one plane." Withdrawal of this objection is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

If the Examiner believes that a conference would be of value in expediting the prosecution of this application, he is cordially invited to telephone the undersigned counsel at (650) 838-4441 to arrange for such a conference. No fees are believed to be due, however, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment in fees to Deposit Account No. 50-2207.

Respectfully submitted,



Brian R. Coleman
Reg. No. 39,145

Date: August 24, 2007

Correspondence Address:
Customer No. 22918
Perkins Coie LLP
P.O. Box 2168
Menlo Park, CA 94026-2168
(650) 838-4300